IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

C. W. P. No.17840 of 2006
Date of decision December 11, 2006

M/s Avtar Poultry Farm

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL AND
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H. S. BHALLA

Present:- Sh. R. K. Girdhar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Sh. S. S. Brar, Additional Advocate
General, Punjab for the respondents.

****

The petitioner has approached this Court for issuance of directions to the respondents to disburse subsidy of 30% being investment incentive. The aforesaid claim has been made by the petitioner on the basis of Industrial Policy, 1996 framed by Government of Punjab.

The claim of the petitioner had been contested by the respondents.

In the written statement filed by them, it has been maintained that since the unit was closed, therefore, the petitioner was not entitled to the aforesaid investment incentive, inasmuch as, the Industrial policy, 1996 had been framed merely with a view to have industrialization in the State of Punjab and provide incentive to such units which were running.

After arguing the case for some time, the learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw the present petition with liberty to the

petitioner to approach the respondents for the requisite relief.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as aforesaid.

(VINEY MITTAL)
JUDGE

(H.S.BHALLA)
JUDGE

December 11, 2006
archana